DYFED-POWYS POLICE AND CRIME PANEL 27 JANUARY 2023

POLICING PROTOCOL – PERFORMANCE REPORT							
Recommendations / key decisions required:							
1. To scrutinise the performance of the Commissioner							
2. To make such reco Panel considers a		e Commissioner as the					
Reasons:							
The Policing Protocol Order 2011 sets out how the functions of Police and Crime Commissioner and Chief Constable are to be exercised in relation to each other							
Relevant scrutiny committee to	be consulted NA						
Cabinet Decision Required	Cabinet Decision Required NA						
Council Decision Required	Council Decision Required NA						
CABINET MEMBER PORTFOLIO HOLDER:- NA							
Directorate: Chief Executives	Designations:	Tel: 01267 224018					
Name of Head of Service:		Email addresses: rjedgeco@carmarthenshire.gov.uk					
Linda Rees-Jones Report Author:	Head of Administration and Law						
Robert Edgecombe	Legal Services Manager						



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY DYFED-POWYS POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

27/01/2023

POLICING PROTOCOL – PERFORMANCE REPORT

Section 79 of the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 requires the Secretary of State to issue a Policing Protocol, the purpose of which is to set out how the functions of Police and Crime Commissioner and Chief Constable are to be exercised in relation to each other.

Paragraph 17 of the Protocol sets out the legal powers and duties of the Commissioner, namely.

(a) set the strategic direction and objectives of the force through the Police and Crime Plan (—the Plan), which must have regard to the Strategic Policing Requirement set by the Home Secretary;

(b) scrutinise, support and challenge the overall performance of the force including against the priorities agreed within the Plan;

(c) hold the Chief Constable to account for the performance of the force's officers and staff;

(d) decide the budget, allocating assets and funds to the Chief Constable; and set the precept for the force area;

(e) appoint the Chief Constable (except in London where the appointment is made by the Queen on the recommendation of the Home Secretary);

(f) remove the Chief Constable subject to following the process set out in Part 2 of Schedule 8 to the 2011 Act and regulations made under section 50 of the Police Act 1996(a);

(g) maintain an efficient and effective police force for the police area;

(h) enter into collaboration agreements with other PCCs, other policing bodies and partners that improve the efficiency or effectiveness of policing for one or more policing bodies or police forces in consultation with the Chief Constable (where this relates to the functions of the police force, then it must be with the agreement of the Chief Constable);

(i) provide the local link between the police and communities, working to translate the legitimate desires and aspirations of the public into action;

(j) hold the Chief Constable to account for the exercise of the functions of the office of Chief Constable and the functions of the persons under the direction and control of the Chief Constable;

(k) publish information specified by the Secretary of State and information that the PCC considers necessary to enable the people who live in the force area to assess the performance of the PCC and Chief Constable;

(I) comply with all reasonable formal requests from the Panel to attend their meetings; (m) prepare and issue an annual report to the Panel on the PCC's delivery against the

objectives set within the Plan;

(n) monitor all complaints made against officers and staff, whilst having responsibility for complaints against the Chief Constable.

 The attached report sets out the Commissioner's performance against these powers and duties

 DETAILED REPORT ATTACHED?
 YES



IMPLICATIONS

I confirm that other than those implications which have been agreed with the appropriate Directors / Heads of Service and are referred to in detail below, there are no other implications associated with this report:

Signed: LRees-Jones

Head of Administration and Law

Policy, Crime & Disorder and Equalities	Legal	Finance	ICT	Risk Management Issues	Staffing Implications	Physical Assets
NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

CONSULTATIONS

 I confirm that the appropriate consultations have taken in place and the outcomes are as detailed below

 Signed: LRees-Jones
 Head of Administration and Law

 1. Scrutiny Committee - NA
 Local Members – NA

 3. Community / Town Council – NA
 Relevant Partners - NA

 5. Staff Side Representatives and other Organisations
 NA

 EXECUTIVE BOARD PORTFOLIO HOLDER(S) AWARE/CONSULTED
 NA



Section 100D Local Government Act, 1972 – Access to Information List of Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

Title of Document	File Ref No.	Locations that the papers are available for public inspection
Host authority file	PACP-061	County Hall Carmarthen

